

Trend Macrolytics, LLC Donald Luskin, Chief Investment Officer Thomas Demas, Managing Director Michael Warren, Energy Strategist Lorcan Roche Kelly, Europe Research Affiliate

Data Insights: Federal Reserve Wednesday, January 28, 2015

Today's FOMC statement: how the language changed from prior meeting

Release Date: December 17, 2014January 28, 2015

For immediate release

Information received since the Federal Open Market Committee met in OctoberDecember suggests that economic activity ishas been expanding at a moderatesolid pace. Labor market conditions have improved further, with solidstrong job gains and a lower unemployment rate. On balance, a range of labor market indicators suggests that underutilization of labor resources continues to diminish. Household spending is rising moderately and business; recent declines in energy prices have boosted household purchasing power. Business fixed investment is advancing, while the recovery in the housing sector remains slow. Inflation has continued to rundeclined further below the Committee's longer-run objective, partlylargely reflecting declines in energy prices. Market-based measures of inflation compensation have declined somewhat furthersubstantially in recent months; survey-based measures of longer-term inflation expectations have remained stable.

Consistent with its statutory mandate, the Committee seeks to foster maximum employment and price stability. The Committee expects that, with appropriate policy accommodation, economic activity will expand at a moderate pace, with labor market indicators movingcontinuing to move toward levels the Committee judges consistent with its dual mandate. The Committee seescontinues to see the risks to the outlook for economic activity and the labor market as nearly balanced. The Inflation is anticipated to decline further in the near term, but the Committee expects inflation to rise gradually toward 2 percent_over the medium term as the labor market improves further and the transitory effects of lower energy prices and other factors dissipate. The Committee continues to monitor inflation developments closely.

To support continued progress toward maximum employment and price stability, the Committee today reaffirmed its view that the current 0 to 1/4 percent target range for the federal funds rate remains appropriate. In determining how long to maintain this target range, the Committee will assess progress--both realized and expected--toward its objectives of maximum employment and 2 percent inflation. This assessment will take into account a wide range of information, including measures of labor market conditions, indicators of inflation pressures and inflation expectations, and readings on financial <u>and international</u> developments. Based on its current assessment, the Committee judges that it can be patient in beginning to normalize the stance of monetary policy. The Committee sees this guidance as consistent with its previous statement that it likely will be appropriate to maintain the 0 to

Copyright 2015 Trend Macrolytics LLC. All rights reserved. This document is not to be forwarded to individuals or organizations not authorized by Trend Macrolytics LLC to receive it. For information purposes only; not to be deemed to be recommendations for buying or selling specific securities or to constitute personalized investment advice. Derived from sources deemed to be reliable, but no warranty is made as to accuracy.

1/4 percent target range for the federal funds rate for a considerable time following the end of its asset purchase program in October, especially if projected inflation continues to run below the Committee's 2 percent longer run goal, and provided that longer term inflation expectations remain well anchored. However, if incoming information indicates faster progress toward the Committee's <u>However</u>, if incoming information indicates faster progress toward the Committee's employment and inflation objectives than the Committee now expects, then increases in the target range for the federal funds rate are likely to occur sooner than currently anticipated. Conversely, if progress proves slower than expected, then increases in the target range are likely to occur later than currently anticipated.


The Committee is maintaining its existing policy of reinvesting principal payments from its holdings of agency debt and agency mortgage-backed securities in agency mortgage-backed securities and of rolling over maturing Treasury securities at auction._ This policy, by keeping the <u>Committee'sCommittee's</u> holdings of longer-term securities at sizable levels, should help maintain accommodative financial conditions.

When the Committee decides to begin to remove policy accommodation, it will take a balanced approach consistent with its longer-run goals of maximum employment and inflation of 2 percent. The Committee currently anticipates that, even after employment and inflation are near mandate-consistent levels, economic conditions may, for some time, warrant keeping the target federal funds rate below levels the Committee views as normal in the longer run.

Voting for the FOMC monetary policy action were: Janet L. Yellen, Chair; William C. Dudley, Vice Chairman; Lael Brainard; <u>Charles L. Evans</u>; Stanley Fischer; <u>Loretta J. MesterJeffrey M. Lacker</u>; <u>Dennis P. Lockhart</u>; Jerome H. Powell; <u>and Daniel K. Tarullo</u>; and John C. Williams.


Voting against the action were Richard W. Fisher, who believed that, while the Committee should be patient in beginning to normalize monetary policy, improvement in the U.S. economic performance since October has moved forward, further than the majority of the Committee envisions, the date when it will likely be appropriate to increase the federal funds rate; Narayana Kocherlakota, who believed that the Committee's decision, in the context of ongoing low inflation and falling market-based measures of longer-term inflation expectations, created undue downside risk to the credibility of the 2 percent inflation target; and Charles I. Plosser, who believed that the statement should not stress the importance of the passage of time as a key element of its forward guidance and, given the improvement in economic conditions, should not emphasize the consistency of the current forward guidance with previous statements.


Source: FOMC, TrendMacro analysis



Source: FOMC, Federal Reserve H.15, BLS, BEA, TrendMacro calculations

Other voices: number and direction of FOMC decision dissents






Source: BLS Current Population Survey, TrendMacro calculations








The Fed's assets, and how they are funded (USD billions) Assets do not perfectly match liabilities because we include unsettled MBS purchases and sales

Source: Federal Reserve H.4, US Treasury, TrendMacro calculations



Source: Federal Reserve H.6, TrendMacro calculations

